

ALAN WATT
ON
“FROM THE GRASSY KNOLL”
WITH VYZYGOTH
THREE – PART SERIES: “THE
SYSTEM”
PART 2

January 2006

cuttingthroughthematrix.com

alternate sites:

cuttingthroughthematrix.net , .us , .ca
alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca

mirror site:

cuttingthrough.jenkness.com

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European
languages for print up:

alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

**Information for purchasing Alan’s books, CDs, DVDs and
DONATIONS:**

Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks &
for the US, **INTERNATIONAL** postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal
money orders
(America: Postal Money orders - Stress the **INTERNATIONAL** pink one, not the green
internal one.)

Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram
(Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check – in Canadian dollars:
mail via the postal services worldwide.)

PayPal Orders: USE THE [DONATE](#) BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE - AND -
Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address)

Click the link below for your location (ordering info):

[USA](#)

[Canada](#)

[Europe/Scandinavian](#)

[All Other Countries](#)

VYZYGOTH - Welcome again to another segment of the Grassy Knoll - these shows
are being pre-recorded and they will air sometime in January, so if current events

fail us somewhat, you'll understand that they weren't necessarily in our purview at that time. With us for a second hour of the three we hope to do with him, is Alan Watt. He is the author of the Cutting Through series, which he has written, three titles. And they are, as he said, a companion to the radio shows that he does do. We'll talk more about those titles and how you can access them, later; and this is Vyz, this is the Grassy Knoll and we are talking to Alan Watt and Alan, thanks for joining us again today.

ALAN - It's a pleasure.

VYZYGOTH - You know, we let off with talking about the "SYSTEM." And I think that's a great title. And we didn't get into it as much as we hoped to do today; we did kind of an overview. But it is very provocative - we all, kind of all agree; everybody kind of agrees, Alan, wherever we are, that it's all about the money. And when we first talked off air, we said, you know, what it is? - and you identified it as if we are looking for a system, an economic system. So let's pick up, if you wanted to do some preparatorial remarks for everyone, as the last time, please do so; and let's get into where it was and perhaps where it is; and where it's going.

ALAN - Well, this whole system, which we take as being normal, simply because we were born into a certain phase of it, as our parents before us, and take it for granted; and Lenin actually, who was trained by the best bankers on the planet - made the statement that there are many directions that mankind can go as the society, he said: "but the public mustn't be allowed to know this." In other words, they must think that the one they're born into is somehow the natural evolution. And true enough, if your parents don't warn you about things, you think it's quite natural. And yet, your laws, your legal system, your educational system, your future of working as a taxpayer - because that's what we are - it's all decided for you in advance. And then you realize that you really have very few choices within this particular system. You have certain directions you can go as from the hub of a wheel; but eventually, if you go too far you will always find that perimeter at which you can crawl around but you can't get over. Because *that is the SYSTEM; it's a total organized system, right down to how many laborers or middle-class are needed for a particular country at any one time - and right down to even "culling off the herd" as they call it when there is too many of them with no real function to serve.* And if we go back to the beginnings, again, of this system - I like to jump back and forth, because this is a continuum, and people get stuck or hung up on certain aspects of history, which is sort of hung out for us to see on the washing line, and I think it's deliberately too. Because, *really this system has been unbroken for thousands of years. It's a system where a few people can get everyone else to work for them, take their labor from them through the money they introduce and they take it back in the form of taxation, and with that money that everyone accepts they can hire and maintain in the field armies and pay them, you see. And then they can go and conquer other countries; so this has been a continuum for thousands of years; and if we look at even the definition of a "citizen" - well, a citizen basically means "someone who was born into an*

organized society with pre-existing duties to that society.”

VYZYGOTH - Alright, very interesting, so that would mean that before they're born they're already somewhat in servitude to the system as a constraint.

ALAN - That's absolutely right.

VYZYGOTH - Alright. Now, you said something interesting at the very beginning of your addressing my first question, talking about Lenin and what did you say about the “best bankers?”

ALAN - The best bankers trained him.

VYZYGOTH - Okay, now, and we talk about Lenin - let's roll it back about 50 years and talk about Marx. Do you believe in any shape or form that Marx was the creator of either “Das Kapital” or the “Manifesto,” or do you think he was perhaps somewhat of an amanuensis?

ALAN - He was just a basic failed journalist who was recruited and set up, with a lot of money to back him in London, England - and the money supposedly came through Engels who had factories. There's no doubt that *Karl Marx himself was just the front man who pushed it or who put in ink this agenda and the information, I am sure, was supplied to him by others, because it was not a new agenda.*

VYZYGOTH - We may not be able to prove it or perhaps so, you may have done some research to do so and if you can share that with us?

ALAN - Yes, if we look at *Adam Weishaupt in the 1700s and the Illuminati, which again, is only one part of an organization, which was already world-wide; and he was singled out for attention, which distracts you from all the rest, who were not singled out, you see? Weishaupt himself thought that a small group of intellectual elites had the right to rule the world and basically reorganize the world in the way that they saw fit, for their own use - not for the people's use - and it was an elitist organization.* If we compare that of *the Illuminati's agenda - with no private property in the hands of the public, etcetera, and the income taxes and all the rest of it - it's very similar to the Communist Manifesto that Karl Marx came out with -* and when we really tie them all up together, they're all part and parcel of another organization, obviously, since they all have the exact same agenda.

VYZYGOTH - What I am interested in, you are saying, was that the Bavarian Illuminati, which was begat, if we could say, at least on the record, on May 1st 1776; do we agree with that?

ALAN - Well, that's supposedly when they made it official that they existed; but everyone already knew at that time that they already existed. Really, if we look at the old Oxford Dictionaries, they will tell us that the “Illuminati” was a term for an organization, which began cropping up from around the 15th Century onwards.

VYZYGOTH - Alright, so when you talk about part of a larger world-wide organization, are we referring back to...I'm not going to mention this; I'm not going to state this correctly, so we're talking about the Spanish branch of that, that Loyola came from?

ALAN - It's very possible; I think so. *I tend to view history as a continuum rather than stops and starts; it's more of a continuum with specific places and people put in our way, simply updating part of the same agenda in different parts of the world.* Because, when we really look back at it, the Phoenicians were doing the same thing thousands of years ago. The Phoenicians were introducing a standard monetary system wherever they went. Initially they would barter with the people; in fact, they set up a "customs" - that's what "customs" was; it's where they set up an enclosure in any little island or place or country they visited and *they had put their priests in there*, who studies the population and got to know their customs; and that's where the word "customs" comes from. And of course, once they understood their customs, they saw the quickest way to get around their barter system and then introduce the money. So they'd barter for initially, and then, after the fifth or sixth visit, then they'd give them the money, and say: "we'll only accept this in payment." So, "here is how you get it; you mine for it," and so on. That's how that all started. And *they started up a factory system, wherever they went. They enslaved the peoples and set up factory towns thousands of years ago; so this is a continuation down through the centuries of really the same system, all based on money* - and the public's conditioning into accepting it as a normal part of living, which obviously means that *whoever really controls the money will always control the entire system.* It's as simple as that; so I don't see it as anyone taking over; what *I see is simply they upgrade their own system every so often - and that's what's behind the myth of the Phoenix bird: every 500 years they're born again from the ashes as a new, apparently a new type of bird, but really it's the same one that's updated, you know.*

VYZYGOTH - Would that necessarily mean that - well, I would believe so, but of course, you know, tell me - it almost seems that like, no matter what, there is an over-arching evil consciousness or whatever, that, despite the epochs and the generations, this somehow has a consciousness that invests in another generation, whoever comes along.

ALAN - There's no doubt; *there's no doubt this is not what we would term generally "human"* - humans aren't really capable of long inter-generational planning on such an efficient scale as this is. A good example of that in fact is the Medieval Cathedrals that were put up all over Europe; and sometimes it would take five or even eight generations of stonemasons to complete the project; so we're talking really maybe fifty years in a generation at that time - and that was between plagues and famines and wars and all of that; still nothing halted the progress of the building. If it was purely human, you would be waylaid or you would postpone certain parts of it and maybe forget it altogether as your priorities changed. But no; *this agenda never falters.*

VYZYGOTH - Yeah, and that's what I tell people, too, that it's...you know, when people say: "well, how can that be?" - and I state: "well, you know, perhaps it's a little bit bigger than all of us." And you have to understand that *whatever entities construct this, they have been at it since time immemorial and they're probably a little bit ahead of the game than you are, as far as trying to fathom what they (or it) is doing.* Again, you know, I talked to the Collins brothers, and they've come to a lot of the same conclusions as you do; and someday maybe I'd just like to have you guys all on, because you really aren't aware of their work; they're really not aware of yours, but you've come to some same conclusions with some pretty decent research; and I think that in itself is convincing. And that for another time. But, is what took place in that continuum, something that might be called "free trade."

ALAN - *Free trade was part of the system* introduced by the Phoenicians. The Minoans had their version of it prior to the Phoenicians, really. Probably one and the same people, in fact, who simply changed their places of residence; but the Minoan records: many records have been found of all the fleets of ships they had and the cargoes and the types of trading; there were laws they had set down everywhere they had went; and it's no different from today. It wasn't really free trade; little Joe Blogs couldn't stand on the seashore and trade whatever he wanted; you had to be authorized by whatever government was installed over you to trade with anybody - and free trade today is no different; it's exactly the same thing. When old Rockefeller said that competition is a sin, he was not being flippant; he was telling you according to his actual inner religion, you know - his convictions. They believe in this. They don't believe that there should be competition as such. It should all be monopoly, and it's like: "e pluribus unum," you know. That really is: "many out of one" or "one out of many" but basically, it might also say, it's "many makes one," it's a monopoly. That's what that means, you know.

VYZYGOTH - Well, on this side of the Atlantic - and you may be well aware of this, Alan - but we trace back to probably when *the real big push was put on for a One World Order is when the Robber Barons made their money and then turned around.* And the first thing, you know, when people say: "well, how can you keep a conspiracy quiet?" Well, *first of all, make the money; second of all, control the information.* Whether it's newspapers back when, and then later radio and TV and also the textbooks - they are key. And it's a matter of documentation that the Foundations, namely the Rockefellers and the Carnegies grabbed ahold of influential newspaper publishers early in the 20th Century, and *they also schooled a number of hand-picked historians on how you're going to write history. So there we have it, I mean there you can hide the footprints of all of these characters throughout time.*

ALAN - Yes, and, you know, every university in North America gets grants from these Foundations to operate, including the Rockefeller Foundation, but along with the grant comes certain conditions, of course. In other words: "here is what

you can teach, here is what you will not touch” - and that’s how you control education - it’s not difficult; and then of course, if you also own the publishing houses that supply the textbooks, then you have it made.

VYZYGOTH - Alright, so you’re in agreement, as I am - and I’m sure you’ve read the same material I have and if you haven’t, just like with the Collins brothers, if you’ve come about it from another way, we still arrive at the same point and that is: *control the money, control the information and then you can pretty much do what you wish*. And also, in the United States: are you familiar with a personage from about the early part of the 20th Century by the name of Philander Knox?

ALAN - His name was what?

VYZYGOTH - Haha, it’s a great name; you got to admit this, Alan. Philander Knox!

ALAN - Well, I don’t think so.

VYZYGOTH - Long story short: this guy was the individual who was in charge of basically undoing whatever Teddy Roosevelt was supposedly doing in the way of busting trusts. Alright, so Philander was a lawyer working behind the scenes to make it right for all the Robber Barons. And later on he is the character, a pivotal character, I think Secretary of State under Taft that just before Wilson got in, he went ahead - and if you remember this episode in American history - he rammed through the Income Tax, and, as you might know, there was a book called “The Law That Never Was,” when they looked at the United States and found out there were not enough states to ratify it. So, this is Philander Knox; there was a character there who took care of business - he disappears without anybody really knowing about him, but he was such a pivotal...actually his life impacts every single one of us from that point on.

ALAN - Well, Carroll Quigley touched on the fact that there was a coincidence, he said - and he was a historian for the CFR for a period - and he had access to the records, and in *The Anglo-American Establishment* that he wrote he mentions the fact that it was the Royal Institute of International Affairs - with its American branch, the CFR - that pushed to have the income tax brought in, in America and the rest of Western countries.

VYZYGOTH - Yes, and you mentioned that the U.K. also had instituted an income tax right at about the same time.

ALAN - That’s right.

VYZYGOTH - What a coincidence!

ALAN - And it was Lord Milner and his bunch and Curtis that proposed that and brought it out into the open. *So here is the Royal Institute of International Affairs and the CFR promoting the very same manifesto as supposedly Lenin was pushing at the same time. Then you realize that there are no sides here; it’s all the same*

agenda and it's not coming from this or that; it's coming from "it"; there's only one organization here, that gives the appearance of sides to create conflict for the masses so that they can give you the solution. And that is what they call "the law of Nature" - they split up the forces of Nature and always give you a "this or that" option on everything. And we come to the synthesis, which is exactly where they wanted to bring us. So it's a chess game that's going on - there's only one real player, and the masses of the public don't know that they're even on the board.

VYZYGOTH - At this time, if you will, let's do a little business. We are talking with Alan Watt. He has authored a three-volume series called "Cutting Through," which he's said is an augmentation and a complementing of what he has done on the radio with shows like this, and Jackie Patru and others. [See www.cuttingthroughthematrix.com for ordering information.]

Just to refresh my memory, because I've read this a couple of times: did I read you the quote at all from Wilcox about the influence of Britain still to this day in Canada? I may have done that; I'm not sure.

ALAN - No; I don't think so. I think even that the setup of Canada and the US is part of the Dialectic and it was from the beginning. I have no doubt about that really, because *they always have to have a competitor or someone they can say: "watch those guys over there; we need an army here" - then they tax more from you to support the army, which they eventually always turn on the public.* Government cannot exist without an enemy "over there" somewhere.

VYZYGOTH - Well, you know, I might have done it and if people have heard this, bear with us. Because you and I are distanced somewhat between our shows that we do; and I do a lot of talking about this. So, folks, suffer us if you will. But what Wilcox wrote, he said: "every inch of Federal land here is owned by Her." It's called "Crown Land" and it's held in trust by the Federal Government on her behalf. And he also said: She graces our money with the words: "Dei Gratia Regina," or for short, "by the grace of God, Queen." We might have touched upon that; and you know, as I told you, and this is still a work in progress, if you will forgive me, so I cannot speak with any kind of authority - but I'm listening to a series about land patents in the United States and I'm getting this feeling like: you know what? They all own it and they can call it back any time they want. I mean, now, this is just a bit of a statement of fact and a little bit of extrapolation. But do you have anything in your studies that would indicate that perhaps one day they can turn around to, you know...

ALAN - Oh, they can. There's no doubt they can; because when the Americas, as it used to be called, was being opened up by London, really, the Queen or the Royalty were granting charters to huge corporations, which they owned or were involved with themselves, all the nobility. And they had patent rights on everything - they had 'first grabs,' you might say, at everything, for perpetuity. And they have never been revoked. They have never been revoked or even challenged, you know.

VYZYGOTH - Alan, we have a person down in Georgia who has probably entered this labyrinth from a completely different angle but is probably coming upon a lot of what we're finding and I have to tell you: this is like the elephant in the bedroom. This is very-very big and I am not equipped right now to even address it. But when I see all the stuff coming in I'm laying back for a time when we might be able to make sense out of it; and honestly, you know, we've just in the States had this Draconian Supreme Court decision about "Eminent Domain." Like it doesn't even matter if they want to put a road through, if Walmart wants it, they're going to get it. But now I'm thinking about 'Eminent Domain,' it's like: well, maybe nobody owns anything and if it's all to the Crown, whether it be French, British or Spanish, you don't have a prayer.

ALAN - You don't, no. No, their old Charters were never revoked. And they were legal Charters authorized by the Crown - I don't know if you've ever walked part of the borders between Canada and the US and got off the beaten track.

VYZYGOTH - I've made crossings but I had never been into remote areas nor have I walked any extension of the borders, no.

ALAN - Well, the main border, you know, was eventually settled after the supposed war or skirmish of 1812. And I think that was part of the reason - the Masons on both sides were told to get a skirmish going so they could re-define the borders for future use. If you walk the borders and get off the roads, the border actually is marked every half-mile or so, or mile with a Masonic obelisk.

VYZYGOTH - Seriously?

ALAN - Yes, like the ones you see in graveyards - maybe twelve foot tall, you know.

VYZYGOTH - Oh, yeah. And I tell you what, I have seen that in Vermont and just passed that off as, you know, a milemarker or marker.

ALAN - It's a marker all right. And then when you go into who they set up to eventually delineate the borders or re-define them, he had a title; he was a British Lord and it was one of the Rothschild families. So it's often the title, you see, will hide the surname, because the title goes over it, while the father is using his last name properly, like Lord Rothschild or Baron Rothschild; the son is given a title like Lord Chatham or whoever. It was a British Lord who was sent over to fix the borders, and he was a Rothschild; he was a Rothschild son. So they'd been involved in the border business forever and of course, the banking - why not? It is their system, you know.

VYZYGOTH - Well, and this leaves me back to where we talked about Marx and such; I envision a scenario where the Rothschilds grab ahold of Carroll and say: "this is how it goes and this is what you're going to write."

ALAN - I've no doubt or whoever is behind even the Rothschilds, because what

we find and I think Quigley was quite correct in this. He said in *The Anglo-American Establishment* that *"the people that you see in public and the people that you know of in public, who appear to wield the power and take the glory, etcetera, or the boos and the hissing, are never the real men.* He said: "those who are chosen to wield true power work behind the scenes constantly, and the only reward they get is the knowledge that they do wield almost supreme power."

VYZYGOTH - Well, two things, Alan. One, that also is reminiscent of the quote attributed to Disraeli in his fictional work or not-so-fictional work *Coningsby* when he talks about there were different personages than you would expect that run things. You know that quote, right? And two: you know, I'm thinking, as a listener, who I think is pretty astute, he's a very humble guy, just lays back, he listens to the shows and I just think he has, as Tennyson said about Wellington, you know, "he is rich in saving common sense." What he said is, he goes. You know, Quigley told a lot - do you really think that the powers that be would allow him to spout so much if they didn't want him to do that; and in a way might deflect from other forces that can hide now in the shadows cast by Quigley's work? What do you think about that?

ALAN - Well, I think that is a possibility, although I have a tape of Quigley talking to one of his students and he was pretty well scared actually, of approaching certain aspects of this. I can understand how his mind worked, because he himself was in the Ivory Tower and he'd been trained in the same system which he then taught to others; but he himself had definitely come up against this strange impasse, where he had to concede that there was something else involved here and he did touch on the Freemasonic aspect of it; *he did touch on the All-Seeing Eye symbol on the Dollar bill, and mentioned that this was at least 6,000 years old, this symbol; and he did ask the question: "why was it put on there, why is this symbol used - and then he tells the student to turn off the tape machine; he says: "because this could damage your career and mine."*

VYZYGOTH - Well, in the course we are aware as Stanley Monteith has talked about that tome, *"Tragedy and Hope,"* is still bereft of a great deal of pages, is it not? And one can only wonder why that was excised and of course, we'll never see the work extant, will we?

ALAN - That's right, and I am sure Quigley himself - and I have seen plenty of people who have been brainwashed into the same system, and they are all for this System, even though they only know part of it. They don't see how the rest operates and they really think it's all real and "for the good of all" type of thing. *They themselves think that things are only being struggled for the moment for a better goal; they don't realize themselves that this is an ancient agenda; because when you open Pandora's Box, it's very hard to close it again, you know. And most people would rather go into denial than... - because you have to reevaluate everything you have ever believed in and known, when you open that Pandora's Box; nothing is ever the same again.*

VYZYGOTH - Well, I can tell you, from being a lowly employee in a library of the University in Florida - we've had a number of professors come on and the ones that we've had the most static with are those who are in Political Science and such, who have written out dissertations, they believe in what they believe; they've been ensconced in Academia for 20 years, and it's like: "Okay, great. You're the PhD, I'm not; but have you ever thought about just stepping outside your realm of knowledge to something that might shake your world, and might be documentable?" - and they don't want to do it. So now, you know, where I had so much respect supposedly for Academicians back when I was going to school, when I thought there was a real desire there for an open debate. I got to tell you, Alan, I mean, in universities nowadays - and I went back for a second degree in the 90s - but I'm telling you, this has gotten as militant as any organization and I am really disappointed and to a certain degree heartbroken about the lack of any kind of openness, even in colleges and universities.

ALAN - Yes, it's been Sovietized. That's the whole system: it's Sovietization. And political correctness, even when the political correctness ends up being mad, basically. People will still go along with the madness of it all. Eventually it breaks down under its own weight, because you can't even communicate even things which are important to people. So it eventually breaks down under its own weight, you know.

VYZYGOTH - What's really disheartening, is the fact that a lot of these professors - and I have to admit, I mean, they've been socialist their whole life in the sense of that - okay, they go to high school, they go to college, they get a TA, they get their Master's, and they get another TA and they get their PhD, and, excuse me, but they've been on the Government forever, in the United States, which is not supposedly socialistic. And then they come out, and they pontificate about the wonders of socialism, as if they don't understand - and I am assuming they don't - that *you are dealing with a human construct that will always have an Oligarchy above it and that will always tend to corruption*. So, are you guys really-really believing in this? And of course, this trickles down to the kids, and the kids that go...I mean, I got kids in the United States saying: "socialism is good," and I said: why? "Well, because it gives everybody equal chance." I said: "well, yeah, there you go!" And I say: "what do you mean - equal treatment?" And they say: "yeah." And I am going: "yeah, like dogs." I mean, like Animal Farm said it: "*Everybody is equal; some more than others.*" Now, you came from Europe, which was pretty much, you know, social democracy, I mean, which is socialism; you come over to Canada, you got kind of the same thing. But now when you are on this side of the Atlantic, probably more aware of what's happening in the United States, directing your attention to it. What do you see Canada as - and can you see the socialization - I would call, you know, "Sovietization of the United States." Is that palpable to you?

ALAN - Oh, absolutely, there is no doubt about that. In fact, Lenin said the same thing, that "when the time comes, when the Western Powers are debating at

election times social issues, such as school, welfare, health care, that type of thing," he said: "they've already become socialized or Sovietized." And that's all you hear when elections come up. They never mention their bigger programs, like NAFTA or GATT, or anything like that, of course. Sure enough, it's all daycare, etcetera; all of these socialistic-type policies; and the public themselves have been so indoctrinated that they come to expect these policies to be fulfilled. I mean, here in Canada there is another show-election coming up, and the present Prime Minister, who is re-running again, has just promised to bring in more expanded free daycare for every Canadian woman. And then they talked to the woman in the streets, of course, I don't know how many they select for the television; but these women all say automatically: "well, it's about time; it's time we had this," you know; in other words, it's about time that everyone else brought up my child for me - that's really what they are saying. So they come to expect these Government social policies, because they want to - you know, they say that socialism is perpetual immaturity; it's a Peter Pan Syndrome: you don't want to grow up and face the world on your own. Unfortunately, the technique works very well on women, because they want protection; they want promises of security; and that's what Hitler said, and he was only quoting Nero. Both of them said: "our policies must be directed at the woman; we shall promise her food and security, etcetera, and she will come to us; and the child will have to follow her, and then must follow the man." So this system is still working today; it's the same policies, because these are formulas; these are behavioral formulas, and when you know how to introduce those formulas, they will come out with the same end product, basically. And these formulas have been known for thousands of years by elite groups and they keep all of these in archives, not in public libraries. And that's also what's taught at some of the Ivy League Universities and the old stone universities in Europe.

VYZYGOTH - Do me a favor and recount though, because that was great and I've lost the memory of it, from the last time we did it. Tell me what the significance of Archives has with the common people?

ALAN - Since the time actually of the Minoans; the Minoans made the sacred Beehive as the symbol of the perfect structured society with the Queen Bee, then the Drones, which are the Priests, roundabout them in the administrative bureaucracies; and then there are the workers down below. And of course, Egypt used the same symbol for their "perfect society." Napoleon picked up on that too; he put the bee up there on his flag at one point and had a robe made with bees on it; and the higher Masons sometimes call themselves the "true bees," the workers, you know.

VYZYGOTH - Is this necessarily pyramidal too, also in structure?

ALAN - Yes.

ALAN - That's what they use as a symbol of their perfect society; that's why Utah has their coat of arms with the beehive.

VYZYGOTH - Beehive state! And what will you divine from that? That the Mormons there, that something's up there, or what?

ALAN - Well, the Mormons definitely...we know Joseph Smith was a Mason. This is always their symbol of the perfect structured society and there's a word play there too - So, archives; yes; I mean, if you are a bee, you put stuff in a Hive and *the Arc is a symbol of their promise, their Agenda*; because the Sun travels in an arc every day over the sky.

VYZYGOTH - So we can speak to it as an over-arching Agenda.

ALAN - That's right. So they have archives with knowledge, which are not accessible to the public, and in Masonry in fact, in high Masonry P.O. and P.U. are the lowest forms of life. So we get what they dish out to us at the bottom and they have skimmed off all the good stuff for themselves with all of this knowledge. And it does come to the fore once in a while; I think there is an author Barbara Tillman...

VYZYGOTH - Oh, Tuchman; Barbara Tuchman! Oh, she is excellent, yes!

ALAN - And it's so obvious to me that she is a chosen author to get into these archives, because she's been authorized to come out with the information that she has. Now, many authors have got in before and omitted this relevant information about the past, but she is allowed to bring it to the public. So they are definitely authorized on what to disclose and how much to disclose and what aspects never to mention, you see.

VYZYGOTH - I would agree that Quigley was in the same category, but be that as it may, you are still getting good information; and I don't know if you read it or not, but I tell you that Tuchman, I think of *"The Distant Mirror"* - did you read that?

ALAN - Oh, yes.

VYZYGOTH - Ah, then! There's some great stories in there.

ALAN - Absolutely! And so that tells you that there were detailed histories kept, right down to personalities of main characters; so nothing was lost in the centuries, you know.

VYZYGOTH - Alright, now. Boy, I tell you what. Like I said, we could talk, you know, forever. But I want to get back to what I promised myself, yourself and everybody else that we would get back to - and that is THE SYSTEM. So let's talk about free trade, what it meant back when, what it means now, and what it might mean in the future, if you will.

ALAN - Well, John Dee, we have to jump to the 1500s from England to find that he coined the term: the "British" or "Brytish Empire." And he wanted to propose to Queen Elizabeth the 1st that this Empire should basically stretch across the whole

world eventually and it would basically take over every country into a One System, based on the British Empire, by using trade negotiations and law. He was the first one to mention this sort of Free Trade, that they could trade between countries who'd signed on to copy the exact same system as Britain. That's how it really was started at that period or this update, to put it that way. And of course, it's no secret that the Rothschilds openly, even in the authorized biographies, had, from the very beginning, pushed the concept of Free Trade to countries who would accept the British government and bureaucracies and banking. In other words, duplicate the exact same system that would bring them into free trade areas - but of course, once again, those corporations that wanted to trade would have to go through the British Crown for a "Yea" or a "Nay" and so it was not a true free thing where anybody could take his wares and sell them to whoever he wishes; you had to be authorized or chartered to do so. And that's exactly what it is: it's not free trade as such; it's free between the big players who all belong to the same club anyway; and it certainly cuts out hassles for them. China is a free trade member, because all of those containers coming from China - thousands and thousands every day, they even have it written in their charter of free trade - that they don't have to even open them here at Customs.

VYZYGOTH - Well, you know, "free" is a nice-sounding word. Free Trade's nice and I've had people e-mail me and we have gotten into discussions about like: "well, what's wrong with this?" I mean, this is a good thing; and I understand how it sounds, and I often use the analogy of like "well, would you buy into a poisoned apple if you knew it were poisoned?" If it looked really good, would you - in other words, so you got to put a shine on it to make people bite into it.

ALAN - That's right: "free," and "trade" is a nice word too, you trade things.

VYZYGOTH - Sure, but it's free, as you said, for the monopolists, but really when you talk about it, then that makes the workers bereft of any kind of place to take their wares or trades or anything else and you are basically stuck with whatever you got, and that's the way that's going to be; am I right in that assessment?

ALAN - Absolutely. It cuts out all competition from anybody who would wish to take part, because only those who are authorized take part are given the charters to participate. Really it's a monopolization of the world system; it also encompasses your future, your children's future, because it isn't just about trade; it's about unification of the world. You see, that's how they started off the European Union - it started off with free trade. And before you know it, they are signing laws and agreements amongst them until everything was being standardized amongst you, until you're all the same with your law systems and bureaucratic type systems and eventually one day you're under one government. And that's where it's supposed to bring - it's intended to do that. *So under the guise of free trade it's a whole world structural system under a single government - that's what it's all about. It's the accomplishment of Karl Marx, it's the accomplishment of Weishaupt, it's the accomplishment of Albert Pike who actually*

had the same agenda as the Communists too; because it's all one and the same agenda.

VYZYGOTH - But as you said, it goes back to the Phoenicians as accounts would say, goes back to the Canaanites; I mean, as you mentioned at the outset of the show, it's a continuum - *you change the faces but the Agenda is the same.*

ALAN - Always the same.

VYZYGOTH - And of course now we have a little bit different spin on it and this FTAA and such; and the one thing I find really interesting and of course I am ignorant of what goes on on your media up there, which I still think is probably a little bit more investigative than ours is truly and I don't know how much more. But Galen Ross [?] - I don't know if you are familiar with the name, are you?

ALAN - Yes.

VYZYGOTH - Alright. Galen did a crude half an hour / forty-minute thing on the American Union, but he ripped all the stuff from C-Span and here you got these characters like David Rockefeller - what a surprise! - Ghergen [?], Madeline Albright, Cheney - and they're all like just extolling the virtues of this American Union; meanwhile, you know, all the Johnny Lunchbuckets and their wives and families have no idea what's going on; because this is not passing through anybody in the media or on the Hill.

ALAN - Yes, I taped that, when it was on - I think it was the 27th of March this year [2005] - when they signed that *amalgamation of the continent* into one at Waco, Texas. And I've got - the CBC television did a documentary - not documentary; it was on the main news - only for about two minutes, but they did say that it was the total integration of the countries. And it's a done deal and they had six more meetings to complete this year alone to get this phase of it through; and George Bush himself was extolling the virtues of this and how fantastic it would be; and he used the term again: "free trade," you know, etcetera and how friendly it all was - but one of the main reporters stood up in the interview and said: "does this mean it leads to the same unification as the European Union?" And then Prime Minister Martin jumped in quickly - he's a better lawyer, you know - and he said: "well, it's not exactly the Big Bang, it's just..." - you know, he tried to belittle it a bit to an extent - but it's a done deal; it's all set up for the amalgamation, which again brings us back to Karl Marx, because in the 1840s he was writing about the coming three world trading blocs with a united Europe, a united Americas and a Pacific Rim group under a single world government. That was all the extension of the Communist Manifesto. So this is nothing new; again, they are only fulfilling their mission - it's all approved by the European Royalty; it's all approved by those that lead the Marxists of the world; in other words there's only One Top at the head of this. And it's nothing to do with "free" anything; it is to make everyone totally interdependent through laws, right down to the water that you drink; where you can get it from; how much will pay for it;

right down to the fact that you won't be able to even grow your own vegetables in the garden! You must be "interdependent" for everything.

VYZYGOTH - Well, I'll tell you what. You just, in that last 30 seconds, I mean, you are making my head spin, because we have talked so much about this, and I've not really - you know, it's not like I come up with this stuff because I just think about it; but I mean, we look at the research, we look at a lot of the stuff that's written in the past decades and then *they have told you where they were going*. So, when I say this stuff, people say: "that's your opinion;" it's not my opinion, I am just...

ALAN - No, *it's written, it's documented, it's discussed at all the high-level meetings* and there's always publications that come out after these high-level meetings, which no-one bothers to read, except the few short-sighted ones that are left.

VYZYGOTH - Well, let me throw this, Alan, at you. I mean, I am still listening, as I told you, to this sixteen-part series on the Land Patents from Europe and the United States and it was talking about - and believe me, I am still doing this from a bit of an ignorant point of view - so I am just throwing it out in justice to everyone who listens, I will follow it up and hopefully I'll be with you, Alan - but I am hearing all of these "caveats" about land ownership, and it's like: "*well, no, the Crown owns all the navigable waters and the land thereunder, and the land on which the tides wash*"; and I am going: "hold on a second; are you telling me" - you know, for instance New York State, like all the counties that are on both sides of the Hudson and it's like I am starting, I mean, I am not there yet - but I am like: "are you telling me you got to consult with the Dutch, the French or the British to say who actually holds title to this land?" I mean, that's bizarre. Also, with the water and food situation - did you ever read a short story by Asimov called "*The Winnowing*?"

ALAN - Yes.

VYZYGOTH - Alright, so there you go! I mean, *they can construct terrorist activities in every sector of our life beyond oil, beyond energy - that would be, say, electricity and gas - to the food system, to the water and then - you are right! - you can't grow a garden*, because you might be having some kind of demon seed in there and they've got genetically modified produce, but "don't worry about it, because your garden might be a problem!" *And you do see this as the beginning of everybody being forced to rely on the State as Mother or Father God.*

ALAN - Yes, that's what they really mean by "interdependence." When they first threw it out it was to mislead us into thinking that we are dependent on Africa for chromium or things like that; but it goes much further; it goes down to the individual level where *there's no aspect of your life where you will be allowed to be independent at all*. In fact, being independent in any aspect of your life will mean that you are "antisocial." That's what they'll tell you.

VYZYGOTH - Well, I'm glad I'm antisocial. So are you. Also, with your studying -

you must have been a young man back in the UK up in Scotland. I would assume that the United States and its citizens think that they are so on top of stuff, they are on the cutting edge, and I think that therein lies the mirage. In essence, did you feel that you were freer and also had more access to the information that has been laid into the centuries about what is to come?

ALAN - I think I was, at that time, for sure. I remember - I was really small - and in the 1960s there was a conference held in Britain, a world conference by the Royal Institute of International Affairs with all the CFR countries as well, and the US was represented. It went on for two or three weeks, and little blurbs came out in the newspapers; and the whole idea, the conference was to decide which culture would be promoted as the dominant culture for the world. Should the English do it through movies and so on and TV productions; or should the United States do it? There was no other competition; it was already decided back in the days of Francis Bacon that the English would be the dominant business language of the future. And so they came up with the idea that America should have the job of promoting the culture through music and movies and so on. And also to do the majority of the policing of the world, until the Chinese took over.

VYZYGOTH - You know, I am thinking that the Chinese are into the bankers too, so they are not going to do anything that they don't want them to do, even though they seem enigmatic and esoteric and inscrutable as we all can say. But they're in the game also, and they may be used, I mean, will we have to fight them absolutely, but that would be orchestrated? The Chinese will not do anything that ...because, I mean, there are stories about the Rockefellers being in China also.

ALAN - Yes; I mean, Bertrand Russell went over there; Lord Bertrand Russell. He was sent there in the 1920s to set up the recruitment system and train students for the coming Communist system. So he is a British Lord with a grant from the Queen to go over and do this, you see? And they sent many of his own kind over there to do the same thing. So China; I mean, in the 1937 Australian world meeting of the Royal Institute of International Affairs and CFR - and I have the members' copies - they said that they would build up China after the war, towards the end of the Millennium to be the world's sole manufacturer for the planet. That's quite something, when they - see, these are long-term business plans. And when they said that, China was a third-world nation. And sure enough, they have done it at the right time; they're right on track - and then they said the United States will go through two or three more minor wars - they said - and almost exhaust themselves, like most empires, they said. It will be a final push, totally exhaust itself, and then China will take over the role as the Policeman of the World. This is in 1937 at the world meeting of the Royal Institute of International Affairs.

VYZYGOTH - Alright, now. I believe on that, don't get me wrong, but if people want to go chase that down, can you give them a volume...

ALAN - It's called the "Minutes of the Meeting of the Royal Institute of

International Affairs" - I think it was Sydney, Australia; either Sydney or Melbourne, 1937. The book was printed, even then, by the Rockefeller Foundation. It has that in the first page. It is quite honest; it tells you the truth, it says: "This is a non-profit, non-political organization." See, they don't play politics; they simply make an agenda, they make the rules.

VYZYGOTH - I know, but they play politics. Alright, we're talking with Alan Watt; he is the author of three titles; they come under the heading of the "Cutting Through" series. [See www.cuttingthroughthematrix.com for ordering information.]

We only have a little time left, and of course, I want you to come back for one more hour if it's possible. But I am going to ask you, just kind of like in a lightning round. Let me throw this out and I guess that's all we're going to have time for; and that is to me, I think that a threshold moment will be the Olympics in China, where you have an aggressive and militarily building nation showing itself off in an Olympics as Germany did in 1936. Might we expect - and do you agree or whatever, you know, give us your view - do you think things might get unhinged after 2008?

ALAN - I know that there's immense crisis to be brought on by 2010. So *whatever they can do to get us all off balance and panicking, so that they can bring in the "solutions,"* they will do everything that they can to get us in that mode. We got a lot of crisis-creation and buildup going up to that date and to 2010, you know. Coming plagues, war, they'll use everything I'm sure, to get us all unhinged.

VYZYGOTH - I mean, for every day that we have, I am hoping that - you know, enjoy what you got, while you got it; that's all that we can say. Alan, thanks for being with us; hopefully you will come back for a third hour and we will do that somewhere down the road. Is that okay with you?

ALAN - That's fine.

VYZYGOTH - Alright, thank you very much and we'll get back with you as soon as possible and thanks for visiting the Grassy Knoll.

ALAN - It's a pleasure.

--

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

BOOKS "Cutting Through" & "Waiting for the Miracle....."

Volumes 1, 2, 3

Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation:

"Esperando el Milagro....." ([Español](#)) & "Esperando um Milagre....." ([Português](#))

CDs Ancient Religions and History MP3 CDs: & Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix' Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)
Part 1 (1998) and
Part 2 (1998-2000)

DVDs "Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom, Esoterica and ...TIME"

Transcript: Tim